

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application.

Applicant acknowledges that with respect to the U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1-20, the Examiner has considered the arguments and the rejection of claims 1-20 have been withdrawn and that claims 1, 3 and 10 are allowed.

In page 2, paragraph 2, of the Office Action dated August 5, 2005, the Examiner objected to the "Abstract of the Disclosure" on page 11, line 23. Applicant believes that the Examiner actually intended to direct the objection to the Specification and not to the Abstract since page 11, line 23 refers to the Specification ("Detailed Description" section). The typographical error in the Specification has been corrected. The reference number associated with the "base unit receiver" has been changed from "120" to "210". Therefore, Applicant has amended the Specification to correct the typographical error. No new matter has been added as a result of this amendment since there are several references in the Specification that discloses "base unit receiver 210" (e.g., line 19-20, page 11 and Figure 2). The term "base unit receiver 120" has been amended to "base unit receiver 210" in line 23, page 11 of the Specification.

The Examiner rejected claims 2, 4-9, 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite, but stated that they would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112. Claims 2 and 8 have been amended to address the Examiner's concerns. Accordingly, this rejection is now moot and claims 2, 4-9, 11-20 are all allowable.

Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner allowed claims 1, 3, and 10. Applicant also acknowledges that the Examiner indicated that claims 2 and 8 contain allowable subject matter if they were amended to overcome the claim objections. Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 2 and 8 have been amended to address the Examiner's objections. Therefore, claims 2 and 8 are also now allowable. Additionally, claims 4-7, 9, 11-20 are also allowable in light of the amendments and arguments provided herein. Accordingly, claims 1-20 of the present invention are allowable for at least the reasons herein.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the prior art of record fails to teach all of the elements of claims of the present invention. Hence, claims 1-20 are allowable.

In light of the arguments presented above, Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 1-20 are allowable. In light of the arguments presented above, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at the Houston, Texas telephone number (713) 934-4069 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.


Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 1, 2005



23720

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

  
Jaison C. John, Reg. No. 50,737  
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.  
10333 Richmond, Suite 1100  
Houston, Texas 77042  
(713) 934-7000  
(713) 934-7011 (facsimile)  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)